

# 274 Curves on Surfaces, Lecture 25

Dylan Thurston

Fall 2012

## 27 Types of multicurves

References for today: Mirzakhani, Growth of the number of simple closed geodesics on hyperbolic surfaces. Rivin, A simpler proof of Mirzakhani's simple curve asymptotics.

Thurston conjectured the following. Let  $M$  be a compact 3-manifold whose boundary is a union of tori. If  $M$  is irreducible, atoroidal, and has infinite  $\pi_1$ , then  $M$  has a finite cover which fibers over  $S^1$ . More generally, we might ask how common it is for a 3-manifold to fiber over  $S^1$ .

A 3-manifold has tunnel-number one if  $M = H \cup (D^2 \times I)$  where  $H$  is an orientable handlebody of genus 2 and the two pieces have been glued along a simple closed curve  $\gamma$  on  $\partial H$ . We choose such a thing randomly by choosing Dehn-Thurston coordinates of the corresponding curve on  $\partial H$  randomly with size  $\leq L$ . As  $L \rightarrow \infty$ , it turns out that the probability that  $M$  fibers over the circle vanishes as  $L \rightarrow \infty$ .

Alternately, we could fix a set of generators of the mapping class group of  $\partial H$  (e.g. some Dehn twists) and randomly apply them to an initial curve  $\gamma_0$ . Conjecturally as  $L \rightarrow \infty$  the probability that  $M$  fibers of the circle still vanishes as  $L \rightarrow \infty$ .

We want the curve  $\gamma$  above to be connected and non-separating. By this we mean the following. Consider multicurves in a surface of genus 2 up to the action of the mapping class group (types of multicurves). A connected such curve either divides the surface into two genus 1 pieces (the separating case) or loops around one of the two holes (the non-separating case), and the general multicurve is a union of such things.




Figure 1: Connected curves on a two-holed torus.

**Theorem 27.1.** (Mirzakhani) Fix a multicurve  $\gamma$ . The probability that a random multicurve in Dehn-Thurston coordinates is equivalent to  $\gamma$  under the action of the mapping class group approaches a limit  $0 < c_\gamma < 1$  as  $L \rightarrow \infty$ . Furthermore, if  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{6g-6}$  is a bounded region in the space of Dehn coordinates, the proportion of Dehn-Thurston coordinates of random curves that sit inside  $\Omega$  after rescaling and

that are equivalent to  $\gamma$  under the action of the mapping class group again, suitably rescaled, again approaches  $c_\gamma$ .

In the case that  $\gamma$  is connected and nonseparating we have  $c_\gamma \approx \frac{1}{5}$ .

Compare to the case  $g = 1$ . Then there is only one type of connected curve, and a simple multicurve up to the action of the mapping class group is a finite number of copies of this.




Figure 2: Curves on a torus.

Choosing a random multicurve on the torus means choosing a random pair  $(p, q)$  of positive integers, and the number of connected components of the resulting curve is  $\gcd(p, q)$ . Mirzakhani's result in this case (which is much older) says that there is a definite probability of obtaining  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ , which is just  $\frac{6}{\pi^2}$ .

The appearance of  $\pi$  here is not surprising. Another part of Mirzakhani's result is that  $c_\gamma$  is proportional to the Weil-Petersson volume of the Teichmüller space of  $S \setminus \gamma$ . (We consider punctures at the boundary components of  $S \setminus \gamma$ .) The Teichmüller space of  $S_{g,n}$  has a canonical symplectic form  $\omega$ , and  $\omega^{3g-3+n}$  gives a canonical volume form.

(Edit: there is a result of this form, but the result above is not true as stated.)

To obtain  $\omega$ , there is another set of coordinates on Teichmüller space called Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates obtained by choosing a pants decomposition and looking at lengths  $\ell_i$  of each curve, then looking at the twists  $t_i$  around each curve. The symplectic form is then  $\omega_{WP} = \sum d\ell_i \wedge dt_i$  (in particular the above does not depend on the choice of pants decomposition).

Alternately, if the surface has a triangulation, then consider shear coordinates  $s_i$  for each edge of a triangulation  $T$ . Then

$$\omega_{WP} = \sum_{\Delta_{ijk}} (ds_i \wedge ds_j + ds_j \wedge ds_k + ds_k \wedge ds_i) \quad (1)$$

where the sum runs over all triangles and  $i, j, k$  are the edges in clockwise order (in particular the above does not depend on the choice of triangulation).

**Theorem 27.2.** *(Mirzakhani) The Weil-Petersson volume of the Teichmüller space of  $S_{g,n}$  is a rational multiple of  $\pi^{6g-6+2n}$ .*

A key ingredient is that the action of the mapping class group on measured laminations is ergodic with respect to Lebesgue measure. (We say that the action of a group  $G$  on a measure space  $(X, \mu)$  is ergodic if any  $G$ -invariant set is either empty or has full measure, and moreover any  $G$ -invariant measure that is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  is a constant multiple of  $\mu$ .)

When we compactified Teichmüller space, we tropicalized  $\lambda$ -lengths and obtained bounded measured laminations. Alternately, we tropicalized shear coordinates and obtained unbounded measured laminations. The latter does not give a symplectic manifold, but we can consider the subspace where the sum of the shear coordinates around each puncture is 0 (no spiraling into punctures).

Mirzakhani's result above can be translated into the theory of cluster algebras as follows.

**Theorem 27.3.** *(Mirzakhani) Fix a surface cluster algebra, not of finite type, with some set of marked points  $m_1, \dots, m_k$ . Consider random basis elements  $x$  with  $\deg_{m_i}(x) = 0$ . Then the probability that  $x$  is of some type (e.g. connected) is definite (strictly between 0 and 1).*

A similar statement should be true for other mutation-finite cluster algebras (neither finite type nor affine). Mutation sequences giving a cluster with the same quiver form a group analogous to the mapping class group, and we can study the orbits of some conjectural positive basis under this group. Conjecturally the orbits are finitely generated in a suitable sense, there is a definite probability of getting any orbit, and the ratios of these probabilities are rational.

What happens in the non-mutation-finite case? What is the analogue of cutting a surface along a simple curve?